

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/394,918	09/13/1999	JAU-YUEN SU	EM/SU/4973	5130
7590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
BACON AND THOMAS			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
625 SLATERS	LANE 4TH FLOOR			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/394,918	SU, JAU-YUEN ()(1)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	lay 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the appliance of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
, -	Administ. Note the attached office	o rection of former 10 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn. The following action replace the last action mailed on 2/26/03.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear how the admitted prior art works. Why the saw machine use the outermost alignment marks 111, when Fig. 1 shows the alignment marks are in all of the substrate areas? Why the saw machine does not wait until the strip expands in order to cut using cutting marks? Are the cutting tracks imaginary lines created by two cutting marks?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roberts (6321739 B1).

Art Unit: 3724

;

Roberts discloses the process including a strip (20 and 40) having a plurality of substrate areas, providing a plurality of alignment marks (e.g. 36, 46 and 48), providing a plurality of cutting marks (e.g. 36, 46 and 48), positioning the saw machine (7 and 17) with respect to the substrate area (col. 3, line 5), cutting each individual substrate areas (Fig. 1) and an encapsulated area 28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. in view of Drussel et al.

Roberts discloses the method substantially as claimed except for two substrate strips juxtaposed for cutting. However, Drussel teaches the use of the method of two substrate strips juxtaposed for cutting for the purpose of increasing the production. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Roberts's device by providing the method of two substrate strips juxtaposed for cutting as taught by Drussel in order to increase the production.

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs

September 7, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER